



EFFECT OF DIFFERENT DOSES DEXMEDETOMIDINE ON THE RECOVERY PERIOD IN TOTAL INTRAVENOUS ANESTHESIA GUIDED BY ENTROPY INDEX: SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Zinzal K^{1*}, Bao C S² and Yin B²

¹*School of medicine and Anesthesiology, Inner Mongolia University for the Nationalities, 536 West Huo Lin He Street, Horqin District, Tongliao City, Inner Mongolia, P.R China.*

²*Department of Anesthesiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Inner Mongolia University for the Nationalities, 1742 Huo Lin He Street, Horqin District, Tongliao City, Inner Mongolia, P.R China.*

ABSTRACT

Abstract Background: In this systematic review our main objective is to determine the different doses of dexmedetomidine and its effect on the recovery of total intravenous anesthesia.

Methods:

Data sources: The data for this systematic review was collected from three databases namely PubMed, Science Direct, and Scopus were used to recoup papers examining the dexmedetomidine, total intravenous anesthesia, and related research progress published from 2011 to 2020. All the included references were manually checked and patients of age up to 60 years were part of the study.

Inclusion criteria: The studies included were original publications in English, meta-analysis, cross-sectional studies, and cohort/case-control studies. The main study interest was the use of different doses of dexmedetomidine and its effect on the recovery time during total intravenous anesthesia in patients undergoing frequently performed laparoscopic surgical interventions. The keywords used to find the relevant literature were anesthesia, intravenous anesthesia, total intravenous anesthesia, dexmedetomidine, and different doses of dexmedetomidine childhood. All included studies were explained through outcomes and data.

Results: An overview of selection criteria is given in figure.1. Initially, 851 papers were collected by searching with help of different websites using keywords, and 788 papers were rejected due to irrelevant titles, abstracts, disparity in age groups, and inappropriate estimates. 63 papers were found with relevant abstracts and were further studied, of which 45 papers were further rejected. Finally, 18 papers met the exact selection criteria. The selected studies consist on six clinical studies, four randomized control trial, three prospective studies, one control

trial, one cohort study, one efficacy, and two studies reflects the minor adverse effects of dexmedetomidine. All the studies result are elucidated in Table 1 and Table 2

Conclusion: We conclude that the administration of intravenous dexmedetomidine acts in conjunction with the other anesthetic agents. This synergic effect significantly plays a role in the recovery period as well as prolong the duration in any case either spinal anesthesia or others.

Keywords: dexmedetomidine (DEX), total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA), intravenous aesthesia

INTRODUCTION

Dexmedetomidine is a strongly recommended intravenous anesthetics α_2 adreno-receptor agonist that is used for analgesic effects as well as anesthetic sparing effects.¹ As it affects the locus ceruleus portion which is involved to control sleep and respiration, its sedative effect lowers respiratory depression.² Dexmedetomidine is mostly administered via intravenous route as a single bolus dose. However, in case of continuous infusion a loading dose might increase the risk of hypertension and bradycardia.³ Several studies have reported the effect of single bolus administration of intravenous dexmedetomidine during spinal anesthesia with different dose ranges from 0.25 to 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. Different doses range from 0.5 to 1.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ of signal doses intravenous dexmedetomidine could cause duration divergent of spinal anesthesia.⁴ Generally reported studies show that intravenous dexmedetomidine can increase the length of the sensory blockade and to some extent, enhance the motor blockade duration.⁵ Ordinarily, the reported maintenance infusion rate from 0.2 to 0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$ during the whole surgery and 0.25 to 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ used an initial loading dose. Dexmedetomidine is mostly preferred as an intravenous infusion in United State because of short half-life.⁹

Moreover, owing to its sympatholytic effect, heart rate and arterial blood pressure fluctuate due to reduction in epinephrine release.⁶ The hypotensive response of dexmedetomidine is controlled by endothelial nitric oxide synthase.⁷ A study demonstrated the effect of low concentration (0.5 ng/ml) and high concentration (5 ng/ml) of dexmedetomidine on hemodynamic in healthy participants.⁸

At low concentration, it had biphasic outcomes by decreasing the blood pressure and heart rate. On the other hand, at high concentration increase in blood pressure particularly systemic, pulmonary, and venous were noted.^{6,7-70} Although, a 22% increase in coronary vascular resistance and 27% decrease in myocardial flow produced as a result of low concentration.⁸ High concentration could not affect the myocardial flow but increase the vascular resistance during the infusion state. Reduction of plasma epinephrine and norepinephrine up to 70% at a lower amount of dexmedetomidine and additional reduction was measured during the high concentration.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁶

Generally, entropy is designed to assist the management of general anesthesia patients by properly monitoring the regulation of spontaneous brain and facial muscular activity. For this hypnosis measure, an algorithmic process is produced through electroencephalography (EEG) and frontal electromyography (FEMG).⁴⁷ Both processes indicate the depth of anesthesia. Response entropy (RE) shows the first value and has a sensitivity for facial muscle activation. This value gives the indication of patients early awaking in response to

external stimuli. State entropy reflects the second value that is based on EEG and helps to identify the anesthetic agents' effect on the brain.¹⁰

The high value of entropy with irregularity in signals such as amplitude and wavelength indicate the patient is awake. Constant signals produced over time indicate zero entropy along with the suppression of brain activity. RE values lie between the range 0- 100, 0 shows no brain activity while 100 means fully awaked. SE values range 0 indicate no brain activity and 91 shows fully awake.¹¹⁻¹² In the case of general anesthesia there is no gold standard method for regulating and monitoring the depth of anesthesia.

Twenty hundred years ago inhalation anesthesia was dominant over intravenous anesthesia. As the world starts turning toward advancement and betterment then people got aware of total intravenous anesthesia.⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹ Now it is a widely adopted technique of anesthesia. This could be attributed to the introduction of anesthetic agents namely opioids, midazolam, propofol, and dexmedetomidine and increasing knowledge on the aforementioned by research. Gradually, the use of anesthetic agents comes with overwhelming advantages of total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA).⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ Therefore, in this systematic review our main focus is the use of dexmedetomidine with different doses as well as we will lighten its recovery effects through the total intravenous anesthesia under the guidance of entropy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data sources:

The data for this systematic review was collected from three databases namely PubMed, Science Direct, and Scopus and were used to recoup papers examining the dexmedetomidine, total intravenous anesthesia, and related research progress published from 2011 to 2020. All the included references were manually checked and patients of age up to 60 years were part of the study.

Inclusion criteria:

The studies included were original publications in English, meta-analysis, cross-sectional studies, and cohort/case-control studies. The main study interest was the use of different doses of dexmedetomidine and its effect on the recovery time during total intravenous anesthesia in patients undergoing frequently performed laparoscopic surgical procedures. The keywords used to find the relevant literature were anesthesia, intravenous anesthesia, total intravenous anesthesia, dexmedetomidine, entropy guide, and different doses of dexmedetomidine. All included studies were explained through outcomes and data.

RESULTS

An overview of selection criteria is given in figure.1. Initially, 851 papers were collected by searching with help of different websites using keywords, and 788 papers were rejected due to irrelevant titles, abstracts, disparity in age groups, and inappropriate estimates. 63 papers were found with relevant abstract and were further studied and 45 more papers were rejected. Finally, 18 papers were met the exact selection criteria.

The selected studies consist on six clinical studies, four randomized control trial, three prospective studies, one control trial, one cohort study, one efficacy, and two studies reflects the minor adverse effects of dexmedetomidine. All the studies result are elucidated in Table 1 and Table 2

Adverse effects:

Two studies reported the adverse effects of dexmedetomidine, one case of bradycardia with OR (95%CI) value 4.74 (2.39-7.69) had a high dose as compared to the other case. One case of hypotension at dose 0.5cmg/kg (OR-95% CI), 1.5 (0.65-0.45). In second study (OR-95% CI), 1.03(0.36-1.73) value was measured for both condition hypotension and bradycardia at dose 0.25cmg/kg. Therefore, DEX at high doses have a greater chance to develop bradycardia condition in patients as compare to low doses (table:2).




Figure 1: The flow diagram of literature selection procedure

No.	Authors	Study	Dose	Finding
1	Patel et al., 2012 ¹³	Clinical study	Loading dose 1µg/kg 10 min before induction	Substantially decrease the stress response at incubation, lesser increase the heart rate 10%, systolic pressure 6% and diastolic 7%. For the post-extubation dexmedetomidine
2	Rashmi et al., 2016 ¹⁴	Clinical study	0.61µg/kg	Significant results of intravenous dexmedetomidine for laryngoscopy and endotracheal incubation was received in patients of thyroid surgeries
3	Chaitanya et al., 2014 ¹⁵	A prospective study	1µg/kg	Dexmedetomidine causes the reduction of heart rate and arterial pressure for a short period
4	Park et al., 2016 ¹⁶	A clinical study	0.3µg/kg/h	Significantly lower mean arterial pressure was noticed for dexmedetomidine. Its low dose of 0.3µg/kg/h decrease the use of propofol and remifentanyl for laparoscopic cholecystectomy without the delay in recovery.
5	Kang et al., 2018 ¹⁷	A single center prospective, Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial	2.0µg/kg	Intravenous (IV) dexmedetomidine at this dose was helpful to enhance the duration of analgesia after single-shot interscalene brachial plexus, without increasing the motor blockade and reduction of opioid use in 24h during arthroscopic shoulder surgery.
6	Efune et al., 2020 ¹⁸	A retrospective cohort study	0.9µg/kg/h 0.3µg/kg/h	Dexmedetomidine effective doses in children of 2 years as the best option of total intravenous anesthesia.

7	Harsoor et al., 2013 ¹⁹	A randomized, double-blind, prospective study	0.5µg/kg over 10 min	IV administration of dexmedetomidine for subarachnoid blocks the duration of sensory and motor with adequate sedation.
8	Dinesh et al., 2014 ²⁰	A randomized study design	1µg/kg over 10 min maintain 0.5 mcµg/kg/h	IV dexmedetomidine substantially improves the duration of the motor sensory block during spinal anesthesia. It also had good intraoperative sedation and postoperative analgesia.
9	Kumari et al., 2017 ²¹	An efficacy study	1µg/kg over 10 min maintain 0.6µg/kg/h	Intraoperative sedation score of IV dexmedetomidine (DEX) was higher (mean 3.4±0.6) P<0.05 than the control group.
10	Rekhi et al., 2017 ²²	A control trial	1µg/kg over 10 min followed by continuous infusion 0.5µg/kg/h	IV DEX significantly (P<0.05) improve the duration of analgesia giving pain relief during the intraoperative and postoperative duration. The recorded sedation score under entry is 3 means fully sleep condition. The recorded duration was 208±19.357 minutes.
11	Kavy et al., 2018 ²³	A clinical study	0.5µg/kg over 10 min before spinal 0.5µg/kg over 60 min	Motor recovery was significantly prolonged in IV DEX anesthesia that is 302.4min in comparison to 233.4 min for other group
12	Reddy et al., 2014 ²⁴	A randomize study	0.5µg/kg over 10 min	Intravenous DEX increase the time (243±38.82 min) of postoperative analgesia as compared to clonidine (190.94± 42.37 min) p<0.0001
13	Lee et al., 2014 ²⁵	A prospective study	0.5µg/kg over 10 min 1µg/kg over 10 min	There was no effective difference between the three groups treated with different doses of dexmedetomidine in case of spinal anesthesia.

14	Jung et al., 2013 ²⁶	A clinical study	0.25 µg/kg over 10 min 0.5µg/kg over 10 min	The single dose range of 0.25 to 0.5 was administered that prolong the duration of spinal anesthesia without any side effects.
15	Chen et al., 2014 ²⁷	A clinical study	5-10µg/kg/h	Total IV dexmedetomidine had impressively utilized to attenuate the airway activity during laparoscopy and bronchoscope that did not affect the patient's respiratory process
16	Agrawal et al., 2016 ⁷¹	A Comparative study	0.25 mcg/kg over 15 min	Intravenous dexmedetomidine significantly prolong the duration of sedation during orthopedic surgery

Table 1: Characteristics of studies with different doses of dexmedetomidine

Authors	Adverse effect	Dose	Odd ratio (OR), (95% Confidence interval)	P-value
Gaitan et al., 2020 ²⁸	4 patients found with hypotension and bradycardia	0.25cmg/kg	1.03(0.36-1.73)	0.16
Al Nobani et al., 2020 ²⁹	2 patients with hypotension	0.5cmg/kg	1.5 (0.65-0.45)	0.43
	2 patients with bradycardia	1cmg/kg	4.74(2.39-7.69)	<0.001

Table 2: Two studies with adverse effects of dexmedetomidine

DISCUSSION

This systematic review aimed to collect the recent literature on the use of different doses of dexmedetomidine and its effect the recovery period of total anesthesia through the guidance of entropy. For the total intravenous anesthesia, the use of dexmedetomidine had a potential association with analgesia and sedation during surgery especially at dose 0.5-1 mcg/kg/h. Its administration time could be 15-20 minutes before diminishing of procedure for the reduction of anxiety during extubation, reduce the event of postoperative shivering and require for analgesics.³⁰ 10 to 15 minutes before the start of surgery, this anesthetic agent may be used as a single agent with a dose range of 1 to 5 mcg/kg/h followed by 0.25-1 mcg/kg/h.³¹⁻³³

The duration of local anesthesia can be prolonged by using dexmedetomidine through the different routes especially intravenous. long period of the regional block is observed when DEX is use perineurally⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ and the longer duration of subarachnoid block was measured when inserted through the intrathecal route.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹

In case of weak or decline of autonomic response to laryngoscopy and intraocular pressure decrease, inhibition of salivary secretion and awake orotracheal intubation (OTI) is indicated. Therefore, use of dexmedetomidine in this situation better improves the hemodynamic response to OTI as compare to an anesthetic agent such as fentanyl.^{34,35,36} Because of its anti-anxiety effects it might be given 90 minutes before surgery. However, it also minimized the cardiovascular effects as well as diminished 30 % requirement of thiopental and opioids.³⁷

The use of dexmedetomidine in total intravenous anesthesia was also documented in pediatric patients undergoing spine surgery, endoscopy, and airway instrumentation. Although its effectiveness is limited.³⁸ One study reported successful use of dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients with dysautonomia along with the reduction of anxiety and hypertensive crises after the surgery.³⁹

By exploring extensively, we found more studies on total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA). A case study demonstrated the dose of 10 mcg/kg/h of dexmedetomidine use in total intravenous anesthesia with acceptable hypnosis as well as hemodynamic stability.⁴² It can be used in case of laser tracheal ablation, and facial tumor resection. To get cardiovascular and ventilator stability during and after the surgery 5 mcg/kg was used in four pediatric patients.⁴¹

Another attempt of dexmedetomidine under the guidance of entropy index indicates the wake-up time quality and observes the adverse reactions. Their study results had not found any significant period for the awake or duration of anesthesia while surgery and postoperative wake-up time was significantly identified. The use of dexmedetomidine combined with remifentanyl, and propofol under the guidance of entropy index to induce the anesthesia can effectively wake the brain functional area during surgery but the highest wake-up measured through dexmedetomidine.⁴⁰

One more research published about propofol and dexmedetomidine use to perform total intravenous anesthesia in replacement of remifentanyl in individuals undergoing gynecological laparoscopy. They had not found any significant adverse effect such as imbalance of serum glucose level, no irregularity with heart rate as well as with blood pressure. Although total recovery time was the same in both groups.⁴³⁻⁴⁴ Two studies evaluate the

different doses of DEX to attenuate the stress response especially in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgical procedures. Results of these studies found significant differences in intubation, insufflation along the extubation. Eventually found the effective dose of DEX to reduce the stress level with better maintenance of anesthesia. A comparison study of remifentanyl and DEX concluded that the recovery period of DEX for the postoperative condition was more stable than that of propofol and remifentanyl.⁶⁰ Therefore, DEX is recommended for use in ameliorated TIVA to enhance stable postoperative recovery.⁶¹ During and after the surgery, arterial blood pressure could be easily maintained through the dexmedetomidine with TIVA and the alternate option is with magnesium sulfate.⁶² DEX could effectively control the arterial blood pressure and heart rate. It helps by preventing loss of blood and improve the surgery quality.⁶³⁻⁶⁴ A study demonstrated thoracic surgery with TIVA DEX. The final response of epidural administration of dexmedetomidine decreases the induction dose and times while increasing hemodynamic control during intubation and extubation. Epidural DEX had given the effective analgesia response.⁶⁵⁻

66

CONCLUSION

Finally, after reviewing most data on dexmedetomidine total intravenous anesthesia. We conclude that the administration of intravenous dexmedetomidine is acting in conjunction with the other anesthetic agents. Which significantly play a role in the recovery period as well as prolong the duration in any case either spinal anesthesia or others. But we notice that the majority of studies recommend its lower dose to get more beneficial changes but it depends on the severity of surgery as well. ⁵²⁻⁵³

REFERENCES

1. Lee, M. H., Ko, J. H., Kim, E. M., Cheung, M. H., Choi, Y. R., & Choi, E. M. (2014). *The effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on spinal anesthesia: comparison of different dose of dexmedetomidine*. Korean journal of anesthesiology, 67(4), 252.
2. Jung SH, Lee SK, Lim KJ, Park EY, Kang MH, Lee JM, et al. *The effects of single- dose intravenous dexmedetomidine on hyperbaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia*. J Anesth 2013; 27: 380-4.
3. Hong JY, Kim WO, Yoon Y, Choi Y, Kim SH, Kil HK. *Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on low-dose bupivacaine spinal anesthesia in elderly patients*. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2012; 56: 382-7.
4. Abdallah FW, Abrishami A, Brull R. *The facilitatory effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on the duration of spinal anesthesia: a systemic review and meta-analysis*. Anesth Analg 2013; 117: 271-8.
5. Niu XY, Ding XB, Guo T, Chen MH, Fu SK, Li Q. *Effects of intravenous and intrathecal dexmedetomidine in spinal anesthesia: a metaanalysis*. CNS Neurosci Ther 2013; 19: 897-904.
6. Liu, X., Luo, D., Zhang, J., & Du, L. (2020). *Distribution and relative expression of vasoactive receptors on arteries*. Scientific reports, 10(1), 1-9.
7. Sackner, M. A., Lopez, J. R., Banderas, V., TR, B., & Adams, J. A. (2019). *Holistic approach to opioid use disorder: think nitric oxide!*. Journal of opioid management, 15(6), 521-555.
8. Colin, P. J., Hannivoort, L. N., Eleveld, D. J., Reyntjens, K. M., Absalom, A. R., Vereecke, H. E., & Struys, M. M.

- (2017). *Dexmedetomidine pharmacodynamics in healthy volunteers: 2. Haemodynamic profile*. BJA: British Journal of Anesthesia, 119(2), 211-220.
9. Wu, S. H., Lu, D. V., Hsu, C. D., & Lu, I. C. (2020). *The Effectiveness of Low-dose Dexmedetomidine Infusion in Sedative Flexible Bronchoscopy: A Retrospective Analysis*. Medicina, 56(4), 193.
 10. Shalbah, R.; Behnam, H.; Sleight, J.W.; Steyn-Ross, A.; Voss, L.J. *Monitoring the depth of anesthesia using entropy features and an artificial neural network*. J. Neurosci. Methods **2013**, 218, 17–24.
 11. Scheeren, T. W., Kuizenga, M. H., Maurer, H., Struys, M. M., & Heringlake, M. (2019). *Electroencephalography and brain oxygenation monitoring in the perioperative period*. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 128(2), 265-277.
 12. Gu, Y., Liang, Z., & Hagihira, S. (2019). *Use of multiple EEG features and artificial neural network to monitor the depth of anesthesia*. Sensors, 19(11), 2499.
 13. Patel, C. R., Engineer, S. R., Shah, B. J., & Madhu, S. (2012). *Effect of intravenous infusion of dexmedetomidine on perioperative haemodynamic changes and postoperative recovery: A study with entropy analysis*. Indian journal of anaesthesia, 56(6), 542
 14. Rashmi, H. D., & Komala, H. K. (2016). *Clinical evaluation of the effect of intravenous dexmedetomidine on the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation in patients undergoing thyroid surgeries*. Anesthesia essays and researches, 10(3), 483.
 15. Chaitanya, G., Arivazhagan, A., Sinha, S., Reddy, K. M., Thennarasu, K., Bharath, R. D. & Satishchandra, P. (2014). *Hemodynamic effects of dexmedetomidine during intra-operative electrocorticography for epilepsy surgery*. Journal of neurosciences in rural practice, 5(Suppl1), S17.
 16. Park, H. Y., Kim, J. Y., Cho, S. H., Lee, D., & Kwak, H. J. (2016). *The effect of low-dose dexmedetomidine on hemodynamics and anesthetic requirement during bis-spectra index-guided total intravenous anesthesia*. Journal of clinical monitoring and computing, 30(4), 429-435
 17. Kang, R., Jeong, J. S., Yoo, J. C., Lee, J. H., Choi, S. J., Gwak, M. S., ... & Ko, J. S. (2018). *Effective dose of intravenous dexmedetomidine to prolong the analgesic duration of interscalene brachial plexus block: a single-center, prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled trial*. Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine, 43(5), 488-495.
 18. Efun, P. N., Longanecker, J. M., Alex, G., Saynalath, R., Khan, U., Rivera, K., ... & Szmuk, P. (2020). *Use of dexmedetomidine and opioids as the primary anesthetic in infants and young children: A retrospective cohort study*. Pediatric Anesthesia, 30(9), 1013-1019.
 19. Harsoor, S. S., Rani, D. D., Yalamuru, B., Sudheesh, K., & Nethra, S. S. (2013). *Effect of supplementation of low dose intravenous dexmedetomidine on characteristics of spinal anaesthesia with hyperbaric bupivacaine*. Indian journal of anaesthesia, 57(3), 265.
 20. Dinesh, C. N., Tej, N. S., Yatish, B., Pujari, V. S., Kumar, R. M., & Mohan, C. V. (2014). *Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on hyperbaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia: A randomized study*. Saudi journal of anaesthesia, 8(2), 202.
 21. Kumari, R., Kumar, A., Kumar, S., & Singh, R. (2017). *Intravenous dexmedetomidine as an adjunct to*

- subarachnoid block: a simple effective method of better perioperative efficacy. Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology,33(2),203.*
22. Rekhi, B. K., Kaur, T., Arora, D., & Dugg, P. (2017). Comparison of intravenous dexmedetomidine with midazolam in prolonging spinal anaesthesia with ropivacaine. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR,11(2), UC01.*
 23. Kavya, U. R., Laxmi, S., & Ramkumar, V. (2018). Effect of intravenous dexmedetomidine administered as bolus or as bolus-plus-infusion on subarachnoid anaesthesia with hyperbaric bupivacaine. *Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology,34(1),46.*
 24. Reddy, V. S., Shaik, N. A., Donthu, B., Sannala, V. K. R., & Jangam, V. (2013). Intravenous dexmedetomidine versus clonidine for prolongation of bupivacaine spinal anesthesia and analgesia: A randomized double-blind study. *Korean journal of anaesthesiology,67(4), 342.*
 25. Lee, M. H., Ko, J. H., Kim, E. M., Cheung, M. H., Choi, Y. R., & Choi, E. M. (2014). The effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on spinal anesthesia: comparison of different dose of dexmedetomidine. *Korean journal of anaesthesiology,67(4), 252.*
 26. Jung, S. H., Lee, S. K., Lim, K. J., Park, E. Y., Kang, M. H., Lee, J. M., ... & Hong, S. J. (2013). The effects of single-dose intravenous dexmedetomidine on hyperbaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia. *Journal of anesthesia,27(3),380-384.*
 27. Chen, K., & Shen, X. (2014). Dexmedetomidine and propofol total intravenous anesthesia for airway foreign body removal. *Irish Journal of Medical Science (1971-),183(3),481-484.*
 28. Gaitan, R. M., Vicent, L., Rodriguez-Queralto, O., Lopez-de-Sa, E., Elorriaga, A., Pastor, G., ... & Martínez-Sellés, M. (2020). Dexmedetomidine in medical cardiac intensive care units. Data from a multicenter prospective registry. *International Journal of cardiology,310,162-166.*
 29. Al Nobani, M. K., Ayasa, M. A., Tageldin, T. A., Alhammoud, A., & Lance, M. D. (2020). The Effect of Different Doses of Intravenous Dexmedetomidine on the Properties of Subarachnoid Blockade: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Local and Regional Anesthesia,13,207.*
 30. García Botero, A., Rodríguez, L., Salazar Pérez, F. A., & Venegas Saavedra, A. (2011). Uso de dexmedetomidina en anestesia total intravenosa (tiva). *Colombian Journal of anaesthesiology/Revista Colombiana de Anestesiología,39(4).*
 31. Pérez-Guillé, M. G., Murata, C., Reyes-Reyes, R. E., & Pérez-Guillé, B. (2019). Dexmedetomidine, Application in Pediatrics: Paper Review.
 32. Afonso, J., & Reis, F. (2012). Dexmedetomidine: current role in anesthesia and intensive care. *Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology,62(1),118-133.*
 33. Bajwa, S. J. S., Kaur, J., Singh, A., Parmar, S. S., Singh, G., Kulshrestha, A., ... & Panda, A. (2012). Attenuation of pressor response and dose sparing of opioids and anaesthetics with pre-operative dexmedetomidine. *Indian journal of anaesthesia,56(2),123.*
 34. Keniya, V. M., Ladi, S., & Naphade, R. (2011). Dexmedetomidine attenuates sympathoadrenal response to tracheal intubation and reduces perioperative anaesthetic requirement. *Indian journal of*

- anaesthesia,55(4),352.
35. Banga, P. K., Singh, D. K., Dadu, S., & Singh, M. (2015). A comparative evaluation of the effect of intravenous dexmedetomidine and clonidine on intraocular pressure after suxamethonium and intubation. *Saudi journal of anaesthesia*,9(2), 179.
 36. Reddy, S. V., Balaji, D., & Ahmed, S. N. (2014). Dexmedetomidine versus esmolol to attenuate the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation: A randomized double-blind clinical study. *International Journal of Applied and Basic Medical Research*,4(2),95.
 37. Han, D. W. (2018). Do you believe that processed EEG helps to prevent intraoperative awareness? *Korean journal of anesthesiology*,71(6),427.
 38. Piao, G., & Wu, J. (2014). Systematic assessment of dexmedetomidine as an anesthetic agent: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Archives of medical science: AMS*,10(1),19.
 39. Geng, J., Qian, J., Cheng, H., Ji, F., & Liu, H. (2016). The influence of perioperative dexmedetomidine on patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*,11(4), e0152829.
 40. Xinyan, Z., Zhengbang, H., Xuekang, Z., Qian, H., Qiong, W., Sisi, L., ... & Zhiyi, L. (2018). Effects of dexmedetomidine, propofol and etomidate on the intraoperative wake-up in the cerebral functional area under the guidance of entropy index. *Die Pharmazie-An International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*,73(11),647-650.
 41. Thampi, S. M., George, G., Salins, S. R., & Thomas, S. (2019). Experience with dexmedetomidine in pediatric tubeless anesthesia for endoscopic airway surgery: A report of three cases. *Anesthesia, Pain & Intensive Care*,390-393.
 42. Lee, S. (2019). Dexmedetomidine: present and future directions. *Korean journal of anesthesiology*,72(4),323.
 43. Chattopadhyay, U., Mallik, S., Ghosh, S., Bhattacharya, S., Bisai, S., & Biswas, H. (2014). Comparison between propofol and dexmedetomidine on depth of anesthesia: A prospective randomized trial. *Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology*,30(4),550.
 44. Park, H. Y., Kim, J. Y., Cho, S. H., Lee, D., & Kwak, H. J. (2016). The effect of low- dose dexmedetomidine on hemodynamics and anesthetic requirement during bis-spectral index-guided total intravenous anesthesia. *Journal of clinical monitoring and computing*, 30(4),429-435.
 45. Weerink, M. A., Barends, C. R., Muskiet, E. R., Reyntjens, K. M., Knotnerus, F. H., Oostra, M., ... & Colin, P. J. (2019). Pharmacodynamic interaction of remifentanyl and dexmedetomidine on depth of sedation and tolerance of laryngoscopy. *Anesthesiology*,131(5),1004-1017.
 46. Morse, J. D., Cortinez, L. I., & Anderson, B. J. (2020). A Universal Pharmacokinetic Model for Dexmedetomidine in Children and Adults. *Journal of clinical medicine*,9(11),3480.
 47. Ramaswamy, S. M., Kuizenga, M. H., Weerink, M. A. S., Vereecke, H. E. M., Struys, M. M. R. F., & Nagaraj, S. B. (2020). Frontal electroencephalogram based drug, sex, and age independent sedation level prediction using non-linear machine learning algorithms. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing*,1-10.
 48. Al-Rifai, Z., & Mulvey, D. (2016). Principles of total intravenous anaesthesia: practical aspects of using total intravenous anaesthesia. *Bja Education*,16(8), 276-280.

49. Goh, A. C. N., Bagshaw, O., & Courtman, S. (2019). A follow-up survey of total intravenous anesthesia usage in children in the UK and Ireland. *Pediatric Anesthesia*,29(2),180-185.
50. O'Connor, S., Zhang, Y. L., Christians, U., Morrison Jr, J. E., & Friesen, R. H. (2016). Remifentanil and propofol undergo separation and layering when mixed in the same syringe for total intravenous anesthesia. *Pediatric Anesthesia*,26(7),703- 709.
51. Goyal, R. (2015). Total intravenous anesthesia with dexmedetomidine and ketamine in children. *Paediatric anaesthesia*,25(7),756-757.
52. Shamim, R., Srivastava, S., Rastogi, A., Kishore, K., & Srivastava, A. (2017). Effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine on stress response in laparoscopic pyeloplasty: a randomized prospective controlled study. *Anesthesia, essays and researches*,11(4),1030.
53. Wang, Y. L., Kong, X. Q., & Ji, F. H. (2020). Effect of dexmedetomidine on intraoperative Surgical Pleth Index in patients undergoing video-assisted thoracoscopic lung lobectomy. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery*,15(1),1-7.
54. Vorobeichik L, Brull R, Abdallah FW. Evidence basis for using perineural dexmedetomidine to enhance the quality of brachial plexus nerve blocks: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Anaesth*. 2017;118(2):167–181
55. Andersen JH, Jaeger P, Grevstad U, et al. Systemic dexmedetomidine is not as efficient as perineural dexmedetomidine in prolonging an ulnar nerve block. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2019;44(3):333–340.
56. Abdallah FW, Dwyer T, Chan VWS, et al. IV and perineural dexmedetomidine similarly prolong the duration of analgesia after interscalene brachial plexus block: a randomized, three-arm, triple-masked, placebo-controlled trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2016;124(3):683–695.
57. Gupta M, Gupta P, Singh DK. Effect of 3 different doses of intrathecal dexmedetomidine (2.5µg, 5µg, and 10 µg) on subarachnoid block characteristics: a prospective randomized double blind dose-response trial. *Pain Physician*. 2016;19(3): E411–E420.
58. Paramasivan, A., Lopez-Olivo, M. A., Foong, T. W., Tan, Y. W., & Yap, A. P. (2020). Intrathecal dexmedetomidine and postoperative pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *European Journal of Pain*,24(7), 1215-1227.
59. Kitamura, S., Takechi, K., Nishihara, T., Konishi, A., Takasaki, Y., & Yorozya, T. (2018). Effect of dexmedetomidine on intraocular pressure in patients undergoing robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy under total intravenous anesthesia: A randomized, double blinded placebo controlled clinical trial. *Journal of clinical anesthesia*,49,30-35.
60. Subasi, H., Kol, I. O., Kaygusuz, K., Isbir, A. C., & Gursoy, S. (2019). Dexmedetomidine and remifentanil as adjuncts to total intravenous anesthesia with propofol. *Anaesthesia, Pain & IntensiveCare*,329-334.
61. Elbakry, A. E., Sultan, W. E., & Ibrahim, E. (2018). A comparison between inhalational (desflurane) and total intravenous anaesthesia (propofol and dexmedetomidine) in improving postoperative recovery for morbidly obese patients undergoing laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a double-blinded randomised controlled

- trial. *Journal of clinical anesthesia*,45,6-11.
62. Nasr, I. A., Elnaghy, K. M., & Soliman, H. F. (2017). Hypotensive anesthesia for the correction of scoliosis under total intravenous anesthesia: comparison between dexmedetomidine and magnesium sulfate. *Ain-Shams Journal of Anaesthesiology*,10(1),76.
63. Farrukh, R., Awan, W. S., Khan, A. H., Rana, A. R., Jilani, A. A., & Mahmood, K. (2020). Comparison of dexmedetomidine and propofol for hemodynamic and recovery characteristics in dilatation and curettage. *The Professional Medical Journal*,27(06),1244-1248.
64. Wu, Z. F., Lee, M. S., Wong, C. S., Lu, C. H., Huang, Y. S., Lin, K. T., ... & Lai, H. C. (2018). Propofol-based total intravenous anesthesia is associated with better survival than desflurane anesthesia in colon cancer surgery. *Anesthesiology*,129(5),932-941.
65. Zeng, X., Jiang, J., Yang, L., & Ding, W. (2017). Epidural dexmedetomidine reduces the requirement of propofol during total intravenous anaesthesia and improves analgesia after surgery in patients undergoing open thoracic surgery. *Scientific reports*,7(1),1-9.
66. Zhang, W., Zhang, S., Li, B., Sun, M., & Zhang, J. (2018). Paravertebral dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to ropivacaine protects against independent lung injury during one-lung ventilation: a preliminary randomized clinical trial. *BMC anesthesiology*,18(1),1-12.
67. Lervik, A., Raszplewicz, J., Ranheim, B., Solbak, S., Toverud, S. F., & Haga, H. A. (2018). Dexmedetomidine or fentanyl? Cardiovascular stability and analgesia during propofol-ketamine total intravenous anaesthesia in experimental pigs. *Veterinary anaesthesia and analgesia*,45(3),295-308.
68. Thakore, S., Gupta, N., Madan, K., & Bhatnagar, S. (2021). Near ideal anesthetic technique for tracheal stenting in central airway obstruction with dexmedetomidine-ketamine infusion: a case report. *Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology(EnglishEdition)*.
69. Mikkelsen, M. L. G., Ambrus, R., Rasmussen, R., Miles, J. E., Poulsen, H. H., Moltke, F. B., & Eriksen, T. (2017). The effect of dexmedetomidine on cerebral perfusion and oxygenation in healthy piglets with normal and lowered blood pressure anaesthetized with propofol-remifentanil total intravenous anaesthesia. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*,59(1),1-13.
70. Mostafa, R. H., Ibrahim, I. M., & Ayoub, A. H. (2018). Effect of perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion on blood glucose levels in non-diabetic morbid obese patients undergoing laparoscopic bariatric surgery. *Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia*,34(3),75-81.
71. Agrawal, A., Agrawal, S., & Payal, Y. S. (2016). Comparison of block characteristics of spinal anesthesia following intravenous dexmedetomidine and clonidine. *Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology*, 32(3), 339.